

Our Community Plan Submission Form

submission form / our community plan

Instructions

Please read before completing your submission

It will help us process your submission if you clearly state the issue you want the Council to consider, what specific action you think the Council should take, and why that should be done.

If you wish, you can present your submission at a hearing. (If that is the case, please tick the box). The hearings will be held between Thursday 25 May and Wednesday 7 June 2006. Generally, 10 minutes are allocated for hearing each submission, including time for questions.

It will help us if your submission also refers to the page of either the full version or the summary version.

Please note: We are legally required to make all written or electronic submissions available to Councillors and to the public. This includes the name and address of the submitter. All submissions will be published on the Council's website from 10 May 2006.

No anonymous submissions will be accepted.

You may send us your submission:

By mail

Please mail your submission (no stamp is required) to:

Freepost 178
Our Community Plan
Christchurch City Council
PO Box 237
Christchurch 8003

By email

Please email your submission to:
ccc-plan@ccc.govt.nz

Please make sure that your full name and address is included with your submission.

On the internet

You may enter your submission using the form provided on the Council's web site at
<http://www.ccc.govt.nz>
Please follow all the instructions on the web site.

Please remember to indicate if you wish to present your submission in person at one of the hearings.

Please ensure your submission arrives no later than Friday 5 May 2006.

Your submission

You may use this form for your submission on our draft Community Plan if you wish. Whether you use this form or not, please include your name, address and contact telephone number with your submission.

Tick one ☐ I do NOT wish to present my submission at the hearing, and ask that this written submission be considered
OR
☐ I wish to talk to the main points in my written submission at the hearings to be held between Thursday 25 May and Wednesday 7 June 2006

Are you completing this submission: ☒ For yourself ☐ On behalf of a group or organisation

If you are representing a group or organisation, how many people do you represent?

My submission refers to: ☐ Full version ☐ Page No. ☒ Summary version ☐ Page No. 4 + 8 + 13 + 15

Do you also want to respond to: ☐ Development Contributions ☒ Aquatic Facilities ☐ Other

Contact Name Joan Werner

Organisation name (if applicable) _____

Contact Address 291 Marine Pde

Christchurch 7

Phone No. (day) 388-9299 Phone No. (evening) →

Email (if applicable) _____

Signature Joan Werner Date 10-4-06

Our Community Plan Submission Form

Please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views

Questions

See list of major projects in the summary document

① Do you have any comments on the major projects in our draft Community Plan? page number 4

Fit out for new Civic Offices \$4.3 million — This is far too much — \$800,000 already spent on doing up The old premises — stay there and save 3.5 million to spend elsewhere — or cut back on the unelected and undemocratic bureaucracy headed by Lesley McTurk — slash salaries and make The "dead wood" redundant — Leave our libraries + pools alone

② Do you have any comments on groups of activities (the activities and services that Council provides)? page number 13 + 15

Recreation is part of economic development. Let's have an open Saltwater Heated pool at New Brighton that (like Dunedin's at St Clair) would attract visitors from Ch Ch + overseas to New Brighton, which is supposed to be being redeveloped, but so far the only things happening are what the businessmen lobby for, i.e. the mutilation of a perfectly good pedestrian mall. Where's the rest of the improvements?

③ Do you have any other comments or suggestions you want to make? page number all pages

re costings. The 'blow-outs' that have occurred in previous years' projects give me NO CONFIDENCE that budgets will be adhered to, or even properly costed in the first place. Then we get charged for the overruns, or re-dos like the Cathedral Square fiasco. Stop all the spending on frills (statues, art works etc).

For office use only

Submission #:

Referred to:

Date referred:

Date required:

Heard: ☐ Yes ☐ No

You may add more pages if you wish. Thank you for your submission

It is absolutely ridiculous that a nearly brand-new art gallery needs upgrading at \$0.2 million — while we all pay 9.1% extra