LTCCP 2006-16 SUBMISSION

Submissions close on 5 May 2006

I do NOT wish to present my submersion at the hearing, and ask that this submission be considered.

I am completing this submission:
For yourself

My submission refers to:
Full Version of the LTCCP

Number of people you represent:

Page Number:

I also want to respond to:

Name:	John Kenny
Organisation:	
Daytime Phone:	383-3893
Evening Phone:	383-3893
Email:	the-kennys@xtra.co.nz
Address:	39 carlsen Street Burwood Christchurch
Your Submission:	Do you have any comments on the major projects in our Draft

Do you have any comments on the major projects in our Draft Community Plan?

Do you have any comments on groups of activities (The activities and services the Council provides?)

Do you have any other comments or suggestions you want to make?

Mostly the objectives and action items in the LTCCP are fine.

However, I am unclear how they individually and collectively impact the quality of life and the standard of living in Christchurch. And that's not because the Plan is written poorly. It is because there is no 'scoreboard' for anticipated impact of the Plan. The measures for quality of life and standard of living are not clustered into any set of

'how do we know we are a better place' measures to judge our progress as a community.

I know each area in the Plan has associated achievement measures - outcomes from the work.

But they just say that the objective has been successful - it doesn't say that for the 20 or 30 (for example) most important factors for the citizens of Christchurch that things are better...in a more balanced way. It would help people see the bigger picture and not just the direct impact on the them.

The measures/scoreboard would need to be balanced to cover the spectrum of life for citizens. E.g. economical and prosperity, health and well-being, community and societial...maybe other macro areas!

Economical and prosperiry could include Average Household Income, unemployment rates, cost of living indicators.

Health and well-being could include mortality rates, lifestyle disease profile (asthma, diabetes, etc.), sport/activity participation rates.

All measures should have some comparison city/community included...a city with good standards in the particular quality of life/standard of living area. The right comparison is important but you get the idea.

Without such a scoreboard we will not know where we have come from, where we stand currently and how the LTCCP will add to the overall improvement (or maintenance - I take the point in the LTCCP that maintenance may well be desired case where the investment needed to achieve the gains would be a burden) in our city.

And that's all I have to say about that! John Kenny