

# LTCCP 2006-16 SUBMISSION

Submissions close on 5 May 2006

I wish to talk to the main points in my submission at the hearings to be held between Thursday 25 May and Wednesday 7 June 2006.

I am completing this submission:  
For yourself

Number of people you represent:

My submission refers to:  
Summary Version of the LTCCF

Page Number:  
various

I also want to respond to:

Name: Kate Whyte

Organisation:

Daytime Phone: 328 9002

Evening Phone:

Email:

Address: 14 Sumner Road  
Lyttelton

Your Submission:

**Do you have any comments on the major projects in our Draft Community Plan?**

I strongly support a long term sustainable vision for Christchurch City and Banks Peninsula and as such see key commitments watered down or lacking from the Plan.

The Greater Christchurch Urban Development Strategy is a critical initiative and is given little attention in the Plan. This needs to be moved forward.

The Biodiversity strategy is critical for a sustainable future and has been shelved. This needs to be implemented and extended to include Banks Peninsula. Protecting what we have and removing threats such as weeds is paramount and needs to be funded.

Banks Peninsula is recognised as significant both in terms of its landscape and biodiversity values and these need protecting through this Plan. As such I support protecting against adhoc development in rural areas. Funding for better planning to protect rural areas and better integrated catchment planning in rural areas.

I strongly believe council should help fund local community groups and initiatives that support protection, enhancement and restoration of native ecosystems and biodiversity in Greater Christchurch and Banks Peninsula. I support the council giving rate relief to land owners which give away property rights when they covenant land for biodiversity or open space values.

I support the council funding land acquisitions, on a willing seller basis, for the purposes of protecting biodiversity and landscape values and support this being extended to Banks Peninsula.

All of the above actions will need to be provided for through the capital works programme.

**Your Submission  
(Cont'd):**

The major component of the budgets appear to be siphoned into roading and yet the reasons for this are not clear. Given the growing concern over oil and fuel prices and the understanding of planning for a sustainable future, the Plan (which has a 10 year duration and therefore has to show some vision) should be promoting and funding cycleways, pedestrian paths and stronger public transport systems and giving much much less input into dependence on the motorcar. I was flabbergasted and appalled by the recent moratorium on cycleways and seek that progress is instead accelerated.

I strongly support considerable increase in developer contributions with new subdivisions particularly in outlying areas. Infrastructure required with new subdivisions are an ongoing cost to everyone, and the loss of rural landscapes and farmscapes is also a public cost which should be paid for.

**Do you have any comments on groups of activities (The activities and services the Council provides?)**

I support funding for the Art gallery, libraries and Canterbury museum

**Do you have any other comments or suggestions you want to make?**