LTCCP 2006-16 SUBMISSION

Submissions close on 5 May 2006

I do NOT wish to present my submission at the hearing, and ask that this submission be considered.

Number of people you represent: I am completing this submission: For yourself Page Number: My submission refers to: Summary Version of the LTCCP

I also want to respond to:

Name:	jeff scandrett
Organisation:	
Daytime Phone:	021 704 180
Evening Phone:	
Email:	
Address:	38a Hamilton Ave, christchurch
Your Submission:	Do you have any comments on the major projects in our Draft Community Plan?

New bus exchange @ \$55m, spent \$20m, and now need to spend \$55m 5yrs later. Why did the council ignore the professional advice re siting of the bus exchange and queing theory for transit times of buses in the Exchange! Now more money required. if the council needs to prune the outrageous rate increase then start on the big projects.

Do you have any comments on groups of activities (The activities and services the Council provides?)

Why is there \$3.6m put aside for civil defence, when Environment Canterbury has a purpose built HQ in the city. Duplication!

Priorities are all wrong- want to save \$1/2m by closong the very services the community saviours, swimming pools, libraries, that are citizens amenities. Instead focussing on small budget things, should be looking at Big spend projects for spend reductions.

Also there should be more transparent and scrutinising processes for spending by quasi counsil groups, particularly the Convention debarcle, \$500k, the spring garden ill fated show, another few \$100k lost. No accountability by the staff in charge and some questionable allocation of resources by self- interest parties.

Do you have any other comments or suggestions you want to make?

Putting up parking fees, etc, in the centre city is a discouragement for the very people you are trying to win back to shop in the CBD.

Yet plan wants to rebuild the heart of the city-policy contradiction.

road congestion at key arterial routes- nothing obvious is being done and journey delays are increasing.

Cultural and learning services take 16.7 % of rate take, seems too high.

absolutely rediculous that councillers have to sign a pledge re McTurk.

Who's running this show- this is not democratic governance