#### LTCCP 2006-16 SUBMISSION

Submissions close on 5 May 2006

I do NOT wish to present my submission at the hearing, and ask that this submission be considered.

I am completing this submission:

Number of people you represent:

For yourself

My submission refers to:Page Number:Summary Version of the LTCCP4 & 8

I also want to respond to: Aquatic Facilities

Name:	D.J.Williams
Organisation:	
Daytime Phone:	3540 992
Evening Phone:	3540 992
Email:	d.n.williams@xtra.co.nz
Address:	63 Sarabande Avenue. Christchurch. 8005
Your Submission:	Do you have any comments on the major projects in our

#### Draft

## Do you have any comments on the major projects in our Draft Community Plan?

New Bus Exchange Proposal.

The additional bus exchange is listed with no prior information to citizens as to it's possible location or interaction with the existing. The existing exchange is not operating well & a relocation or a second exchange is necessary. The detailed research & planning necessary does not require the committment of the total possible expendature at this time.

A much smaller sum should be allocated for this initial work & the total made provision for only after the decision is known.

So often the public has seen proposed works listed with little or no detail given, & further down the track this is taken as approval to proceed with no further public referral.

# Do you have any comments on groups of activities (The activities and services the Council provides?)

The proposed services & activities reductions are an affront to Christchurch citizens. The savings are minimal but put many library users at a considerable disadvantage.

One of a Councils basic functions is to provide & encourage the use of libraries & swimming pools.

The Councils own draft summary states that 85 - 90% of customers express satisfaction with the existing library service.

### Do you have any other comments or suggestions you want to make?

Discretionary Projects.

The removal of the \$18 million allocation for undergrounding the existing overhead power reticulation is very short sighted.

Much of the existing overhead system is aged & in some areas substantially overloaded. If the Council expects its ratepayers to embrace the clean air policy it must assist in helping provide an alternative to fires capable of taking up the addittional loading.

The present overhead network does not enhance Christchurch as a modern enterprising city.