

submission form

Your Submission

You may use this form for your submission if you wish. Whether you use this form or not, please follow the guidelines for preparing submissions on the previous page.

Name

MR W. N. LOGAN

Address

33 Bampton St Shipley

Contact Telephone

Email (if applicable)

Signature

W. N. Logan

Please tick which applies:



I do NOT wish to make a personal submission at the hearing, and ask that this written submission be considered.



I wish to talk to the main points in my written submission at the hearings to be held between Tuesday 1 June and Friday 11 June 2004.

Vol 1 Page 29.

This new civic office building is a expense the city can't afford. It's almost time the council took notice of the rate payers. The only time you take notice of us is when election time comes around & at that time you always say what you'll do for the rate payer, but after the election is over it's to hell with it. And you go your own merry way & do what you want & not caring about what you promised.

Please continue your submission on the back of this page. You may add more pages if you wish.

PTO.

submission form

I enclose letter to the Press which is enclosed & I totally agree.

I'll be glad when the councillors are cut down to size & get rid of the castle builders who only squander our rate money.

Now as for the leaky window in the ccc office. Well what do you do if your windows at home leak, you get them fixed. You should have used some of the squandered ~~any~~ square money on the building it would have been better & less of a cock up than the square.

CCC offices

**We are told
that the
ocean outfall
places a
severe strain
on finances
and that there
is no money
for smaller
initiatives like
household
collection of
organic
waste.**

Ratepayers write to you with concerns about city council spending, but I have yet to see any comment on the \$53.71 million proposed for a new council office building. It may be that citizens are not generally aware of this proposal, tucked without further comment into the draft community plan at page 29, volume 1.

But surely, so major a piece of expenditure requires widespread debate?

We are told by councillors that the ocean outfall places a severe strain on finances and that there is no money for smaller initiatives like household collection of organic waste. Why then is there the money for the bureaucracy that is unable to deliver the projects on the ground?

Why cannot the council support its own inner-city revitalisation by reuse of the many existing empty buildings?

Ratepayers can make submissions on this proposal until May 6, but more important is for the community to ask questions and make sure this gigantic project becomes an election issue.

LESLEY BEAVEN
Christchurch central, April 20