# **Environmental Policy and Regulatory Services**

### **Cost of Proposed Services**

| Budget 2001/02 |                               | Budget 2002/03                    |             |            |
|----------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------|------------|
| Net Cost       | Operational Outputs           | Costs (After Internal Recoveries) | Revenue     | Net Cost   |
| \$             |                               | \$                                | \$          | \$         |
| 2,242,486      | Consents and Applications     | 8,740,069                         | (6,598,250) | 2,141,819  |
| 658,490        | Environmental Effects Control | 903,505                           | (208,500)   | 695,005    |
| 2,615,646      | Plans and Policy Statements   | 2,732,908                         | (15,000)    | 2,717,908  |
| 2,405,446      | Information and Advice        | 3,824,629                         | (1,358,000) | 2,466,629  |
| 78,523         | Animal Control                | 1,636,577                         | (1,479,731) | 156,846    |
| 175,528        | Consents and Applications     | 193,721                           | 0           | 193,721    |
| 3,213,537      | Policy Advice                 | 3,623,032                         | 0           | 3,623,032  |
| 265,136        | Promotions                    | 382,658                           | (30,000)    | 352,658    |
| 90,933         | Monitoring                    | 96,916                            | 0           | 96,916     |
| 597,863        | Funds Administration          | 608,785                           | 0           | 608,785    |
| 853,762        | Energy Programmes             | 68,878                            | 0           | 68,878     |
| 13,197,349     | Net Cost of Service           | 22,811,677                        | (9,689,481) | 13,122,196 |

Note: The above Cost of Service Statement includes a depreciation provision for 2001/02 of \$74,339 and in 2002/03 of \$97,976.

| Projected Cost of Service 2003/04<br>Projected Cost of Service 2004/05 |                           | 13,219,400<br>13,218,588 | Sources of Funding |
|--|---------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|
| -  | Capital Outputs           | 2002/03<br>\$            | 41.4%              |
|  | Renewals and Replacements | 19,500                   |                    |
| 822,786  | Asset Improvements        | 79,000                   |                    |
| 350,000  | New Assets                | 350,000                  | 57.6%              |
| 1,192,286  |                           | 448,500                  | 1.0%               |



Rates


User Pay Revenue

### plans for customer service activities

# **Environmental Policy and Regulatory Services**

### Nature and Scope

- Prepare, review, monitor and implement the objectives and policies of the City Plan prepared under the Resource Management Act 1991.
- Monitor the preparation and administration of policy statements and plans prepared by Environment Canterbury and adjacent local authorities.
- Prepare concept plans for urban renewal and environmental improvements of the city, including the Central City, which address the effects of urban renewal on people and communities.
- Provide policy advice on planning and development, conservation, design and heritage, transportation and environmental health issues, that affect the city.
- Develop projects and prepare feasibility studies for achieving the objectives and policies of the City Plan, other than by regulation.
- Administer funds set aside for promoting heritage retention and the removal of nonconforming activities.
- Promote an awareness of the environmental issues and values of the city.
- Process land use and subdivision consents.
- Administer the Building Act and Building Code within the city, including the issue of building consents, code compliance certificates, and annual warrants of fitness.
- Administer environmental health statutes, including food licensing, dangerous goods, sale of liquor, offensive trades and hazardous substances.
- Minimise occurrences that are likely to be objectionable or otherwise affect human health or safety arising from the presence or keeping of dogs and other animals.
- Provide information and advice on planning, building and environmental statutes and regulations, including developing and maintaining the necessary information bases.
- Process applications for land information memoranda.
- Monitor and control adverse environmental effects, including primary responsibility for noise, and shared responsibility for hazardous substances.



A new subdivision takes shape on the Rural Urban fringe.

2003 CCC Financial Plan

## triple bottom line measures

# **Environmental Policy and Regulatory Services**

#### Objective

#### Manage & Plan

To manage and plan the use, development and protection of the natural and physical resources of the city in a sustainable way.


#### Environmental Performance Indicator

Ferrormance mulcator

• Successfully defend 90% of City Plan references to Environment Court.



• 88% of residents satisfied with building or land development within their area.



|               | Link to     |  |
|---------------|-------------|--|
| or            | Strategic   |  |
|               | Objective   |  |
| nce to owners | C1, C2, C3, |  |

C4, C5, G2

• Provide financial assistance to owners of 10 listed heritage buildings by 30 June 2003.

**Economic/Financial** 

**Performance Indicate** 

#### Promoting Health & Safety

To promote the environmental health of the city and the health, safety and well being of its citizens. • All food premises identified as being high risk in terms of food safety to be inspected at least once during the year.

- Situations likely to affect human health or safety or to cause objectionable statutory nuisance are investigated and actioned (*Target: 100%*).
- Residents satisfied with the value for C2, G2 money of rates spent on overall city and environmental planning, at least 60%.



### triple bottom line measures

# **Environmental Policy and Regulatory Services**

#### Objective

#### Limiting Adverse Effects

To limit the adverse effects of activities on the environment including people and communities.

#### Compliance with Legislation

To ensure that the statutory purposes and principles of building control, health, hazardous substances, liquor licensing, and animal control are achieved with minimal compliance cost.

#### Environmental Performance Indicator

• Monitoring of resource consents within periods stipulated.

#### Social Performance Indicator

#### Economic/Financial Performance Indicator

#### Link to Strategic Objective

• Residents satisfied with the value for C1, C3, G2 money of rates spent on regulating activities and investigating nuisances, at least 57%.



Process all applications (eg building C2, C5, D5, and land use resource consents) within the time limits prescribed by the relevant legislation.

a

2003 CCC Financial Plan